## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT WILLIAMSON,

Plaintiff,

09cv1467

**ELECTRONICALLY FILED** 

v.

AMADA CUTTING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

## ORDER RE: MOTION TO DISMISS (DOC. NO. 7)

AND NOW, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November 2009, upon consideration of Defendant Amada Cutting Technologies, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Negligence Count I, ¶¶ 18(b)-(f), (h)-(i), and Strict Liability Count II, ¶ 22(c) (doc. no. 7) and the Response thereto (doc. no. 10), it is hereby ORDERED that said Motion is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.

Motion is DENIED as to Count I,  $\P$  ¶ 18(b)-(f), (h)-(i), and Motion is GRANTED as to Count II,  $\P$  22(c). Therefore, the Court strikes  $\P$  22(c) from the Complaint (doc. no. 1, exh. 13).

s/ Arthur J. Schwab
Arthur J. Schwab
United States District Judge

cc: All Registered ECF Counsel and Parties